Explicit Shimura's conjecture for Sp₃ on a computer

Alexei Panchishkin, Kirill Vankov http://www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/~panchish e-mail: panchish@mozart.ujf-grenoble.fr, FAX: 33 (0) 4 76 51 44 78

Abstract

We compute by a different method the generating series in Shimura's conjecture for Sp_3 , proved by Andrianov in 1967. We develop formulas for the Satake spherical maps for Sp_n and Gl_n .

Contents

1 A formula for the generating series of Hecke operators of Sp_3 1
2 Explicit form of Shimura's conjecture for Sp_3 6
3 An identity involving $\omega(t(1,p^{\lambda_2},p^{\lambda_3}))$ 8
4 Images of the Hecke operators under the spherical map 9
5 A special case 13

1 A formula for the generating series of Hecke operators of Sp_3

A classical method to produce L-functions for an algebraic group G over $\mathbb Q$ uses the generating series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_f(n) n^{-s} = \prod_{p \text{ primes } \delta = 0} \sum_{\delta = 0}^{\infty} \lambda_f(p^{\delta}) p^{-\delta s},$$

of the eigenvalues of Hecke operators on an automorphic form f on G. We study the generating series of Hecke operators $\mathbf{T}(n)$ for the symplectic group Sp_g , when g=3, and $\lambda_f(n)=\lambda_f(\mathbf{T}(n))$.

Let $\Gamma = \operatorname{Sp}_g(\mathbb{Z}) \subset \operatorname{SL}_{2g}(\mathbb{Z})$ be the Siegel modular group of genus g, and $[\mathbf{p}]_g = p\mathbf{I}_{2g} =$

 $\mathbf{T}(\underbrace{p,\cdots,p})$ be the scalar Hecke operator for Sp_g . According to Hecke and Shimura,

$$\begin{split} D_p(X) &= \sum_{\delta=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{T}(p^{\delta}) X^{\delta} \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{1 - \mathbf{T}(p)X + p[\mathbf{p}]_1 X^2}, & \text{if } g = 1 \\ & \text{(see [Hecke], and [Shi71], Theorem 3.21),} \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} \frac{1 - p^2[\mathbf{p}]_2 X^2}{1 - \mathbf{T}(p)X + \{p\mathbf{T}_1(p^2) + p(p^2 + 1)[\mathbf{p}]_2\} X^2 - p^3[\mathbf{p}]_2 \mathbf{T}(p)X^3 + p^6[\mathbf{p}]_2^2 X^4} \\ & \text{if } g = 2 \text{ (see [Sh], Theorem 2),} \end{cases} \end{split}$$

where $\mathbf{T}(p)$, $\mathbf{T}_i(p^2)$ $(i=1,\dots,g)$ are the g+1 generators of the corresponding Hecke ring over \mathbb{Z} for the symplectic group Sp_g , in particular, $\mathbf{T}_g(p^2) = [\mathbf{p}]_g$.

The case g=3 was treated for the first time by Andrianov in [An67]. In the present paper we find a different method to compute the polynomials E(X) and F(X) in X of degree 6 and 8 such that $D_p(X) = E(X)/F(X)$, through the generators $\mathbf{T}(p), \mathbf{T}_1(p^2), \mathbf{T}_2(p^2), [\mathbf{p}]_3$ of the Hecke ring (Theorems 1.1 and 2.1). Actually this series was computed for g=3 by hand in Andrianov's original paper [An67] using the multiplication rules for Hecke operators. Our computation works also for higher genus (see [VaSp4]).

The explicit knowledge of the sum of the generating series of Hecke operators

$$D_p(X) = \sum_{\delta=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{T}(p^{\delta}) X^{\delta} = E(X) / F(X)$$

gives a relation between the Hecke eigenvalues and the Fourier coefficients of a Hecke eigenform f. This link is needed for constructing an analytic continuation of L-function on Sp_g , which was done by A.N.Andrianov in the case g=2, see [An74]. An approach for constructing an analytic continuation of the spinor L-function on Sp_3 was indicated at the talk of the first author, see [PaGRFA].

Our result is based on the use of the Satake spherical map Ω (see Section 3 for details). We obtain the following formula for the polynomial $P(X) = \Omega(E(X))$:

$$\begin{split} P(X) &= P_3(x_0,\,x_1,\,x_2,\,x_3,\,X) = \\ &= 1 - \left(\frac{sym_{2,1,1}}{p} + \frac{(p^2 + p + 1)\,sym_{1,1,1}}{p^2} + \frac{sym_{1,1,0}}{p}\right)\,x_0^2\,X^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{p + 1}{p^2}\left(sym_{2,2,2} + sym_{2,2,1} + sym_{2,1,1} + sym_{1,1,1}\right)\,x_0^3\,X^3 \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{sym_{3,2,2}}{p^2} + \frac{(p^2 + p + 1)\,sym_{2,2,2}}{p^3} + \frac{sym_{2,2,1}}{p^2}\right)\,x_0^4\,X^4 \\ &\quad + \frac{sym_{3,3,3}}{p^3}\,x_0^6\,X^6 \,. \end{split} \tag{1}$$

(see also [PaVa]). The case g = 4 was treated by K.Vankov in [VaSp4].

In this formula the notation sym_{i_1,i_2,i_3} represents the symmetric polynomial of three variables x_1 , x_2 and x_3 constructed in the following way:

$$sym_{i_1,i_2,i_3} = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n / \operatorname{Stab}(x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} x_3^{i_3})} \sigma(x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} x_3^{i_3}),$$

where the summation of permuted monomials $x_1^{i_1}x_2^{i_2}x_3^{i_3}$ is normalized using the stabilizer $\operatorname{Stab}(x_1^{i_1}x_2^{i_2}x_3^{i_3})$ so that all coefficients are equal to 1 and $i_1 \geq i_2 \geq i_3 \geq 0$. The total degree of the polynomial is $i_1 + i_2 + i_3$. Here $S_n = S_3$ is the symmetric group that acts naturally on polynomials in n variables, where n = 3 in our case. For example

$$\begin{split} sym_{0,0,0} &= 1 \\ sym_{1,0,0} &= x_1 + x_2 + x_3 \\ sym_{1,1,0} &= x_1x_2 + x_1x_3 + x_2x_3 \\ sym_{1,1,1} &= x_1x_2x_3 \\ sym_{4,3,2} &= x_1^4x_2^3x_3^2 + x_1^4x_2^2x_3^3 + x_1^3x_2^4x_3^2 + x_1^3x_2^2x_3^4 + x_1^2x_2^4x_3^3 + x_1^2x_2^3x_3^4 \,. \end{split}$$

Many computations presented in this article were performed using Maple 9.50 (IBM INTEL NT). Symmetric polynomials $sym_{i_1i_2i_3}$ (up to total degree 9) were computed using the coefficient of t of the generating function

$$\begin{split} \prod_{\sigma \in S_3} & (1 + t x_{\sigma(1)}^{i_1} x_{\sigma(2)}^{i_2} x_{\sigma(3)}^{i_3}) = (1 + t x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} x_3^{i_3}) (1 + t x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_3} x_3^{i_2}) \\ & \times (1 + t x_1^{i_2} x_2^{i_1} x_3^{i_3}) (1 + t x_1^{i_2} x_2^{i_3} x_3^{i_1}) (1 + t x_1^{i_3} x_2^{i_1} x_3^{i_2}) (1 + t x_1^{i_3} x_2^{i_2} x_3^{i_1}) \,, \end{split}$$

where $i_1 = 0, ..., 6$, $i_2 = 0, ..., i_1$ and $i_3 = 0, ..., i_2$. Then it is normalized by dividing out its leading coefficient.

Let us state our result directly in terms of the Hecke operators for the symplectic group Sp_n , defined at p. 142 of [An87]. Consider the group of positive symplectic similitudes

$$S = S^{n} = GSp_{n}^{+}(\mathbb{Q}) = \{ M \in M_{2n}(\mathbb{Q}) \mid {}^{t}MJ_{n}M = \mu(M)J_{n}, \mu(M) > 0 \} ,$$
where $J_{n} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0}_{n} & \mathbf{I}_{n} \\ -\mathbf{I}_{n} & \mathbf{0}_{n} \end{pmatrix} .$ (2)

For the Siegel modular group $\Gamma = \operatorname{Sp}_n(\mathbb{Z})$ consider the double cosets

$$(M) = \Gamma M \Gamma \subset \mathcal{S}, \tag{3}$$

and the Hecke operators

$$\mathbf{T}(a) = \sum_{M \in \mathrm{SD}_n(a)} (M),\tag{4}$$

where M runs through the following integral matrices

$$SD_n(a) = \{ diag(d_1, \dots, d_n; e_1, \dots, e_n) \mid d_i | d_{i+1}, d_n | e_n, e_{i+1} | e_i, d_i e_i = a \}.$$
 (5)

Let us use the notation

$$\mathbf{T}(d_1, \dots, d_n; e_1, \dots, e_n) = (\operatorname{diag}(d_1, \dots, d_n; e_1, \dots, e_n)). \tag{6}$$

In particular we have the operators (see p. 149 of [An87]):

$$\mathbf{T}(p) = \mathbf{T}(\underbrace{1, \cdots, 1}_{n}, \underbrace{p, \cdots, p}_{n}), \tag{7}$$

$$\mathbf{T}_{i}(p^{2}) = \mathbf{T}(\underbrace{1, \cdots, 1}_{n-i}, \underbrace{p, \cdots, p}_{i}, \underbrace{p^{2}, \cdots, p^{2}}_{n-i}, \underbrace{p, \cdots, p}_{i}), \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \cdots, n.$$
(8)

Then their images by the spherical map Ω are given at p.159 of [An87]:

$$\Omega(\mathbf{T}(p)) = x_0 \prod_{i=0}^{n} (1 + x_i) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} x_0 s_j(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n),$$
(9)

$$\Omega(\mathbf{T}_i(p^2)) = \sum_{a+b \le n, a > i} p^{b(a+b+1)} \operatorname{sm}_p(a-i, a) x_0^2 \omega(\pi_{a,b}).$$
 (10)

Here

$$s_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{1 < \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_i < n} x_{\alpha_1} \cdots x_{\alpha_i}$$

is the ith elementary symmetric polynomial (different then previously defined

$$sym_{i_1,i_2,i_3}$$
), $\pi_{a,b} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{n-a-b} & \mathbf{p}\mathbf{I}_a \\ p^2\mathbf{I}_b \end{pmatrix}$ is a Hecke operator for GL_n , and the coefficient are (\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}) denotes the number of symmetries of replications of symmetries of replications of symmetries.

ficient $\operatorname{sm}_p(r,a)$ denotes the number of symmetric matrices of rank r and order a over the field \mathbb{F}_p . This coefficient is evaluated at p.205 of [An87]:

$$sm_{p}(r, a) = sm_{p}(r, r) \frac{\phi_{a}(p)}{\phi_{r}(p)\phi_{a-r}(p)},$$
with $\phi_{r}(x) = (x - 1)(x^{2} - 1) \cdot \dots \cdot (x^{r} - 1)$ for $r \ge 1$, and $\phi_{0}(x) = 1$.

In particular, we have in the case n=3 that

$$\begin{split} &\Omega(\mathbf{T}(p)) = x_0 \left(1 + sym_{1,0,0} + sym_{1,1,0} + sym_{1,1,1}\right) \,, \\ &\Omega(\mathbf{T}_1(p^2)) = \frac{x_0^2 \left(p^2 - 1\right)}{p^3} \left(sym_{2,1,1} + sym_{1,1,0}\right) \\ &+ \frac{x_0^2}{p} \left(sym_{2,2,1} + sym_{2,1,0} + sym_{1,0,0}\right) \\ &+ \frac{x_0^2 (p - 1)(3p^2 + 2p + 1)}{p^4} sym_{1,1,1} \,, \\ &\Omega(\mathbf{T}_2(p^2)) = p^0 \mathrm{sm}_p(0, 2) x_0^2 \omega(\pi_{2,0}) + p^4 \mathrm{sm}_p(0, 2) x_0^2 \omega(\pi_{2,1}) \\ &+ p^0 \mathrm{sm}_p(1, 3) x_0^2 \omega(\pi_{3,0}) \\ &= x_0^2 \omega(t(1, p, p)) + p^4 x_0^2 \omega(t(p, p, p^2)) + \mathrm{sm}_p(1, 3) x_0^2 \omega(t(p, p, p)) \\ &= \frac{x_0^2}{p^3} \left(sym_{1,1,0} + sym_{2,1,1}\right) + \frac{x_0^2 \left(p - 1\right)(p^2 + p + 1)}{p^6} sym_{1,1,1} \,, \end{split}$$

$$\Omega(\mathbf{T}_3(p^2)) = \Omega([\mathbf{p}]_3) = p^0 \operatorname{sm}_p(0,3) x_0^2 \omega(\pi_{3,0}) = \frac{x_0^2 x_1 x_2 x_3}{p^6} = \frac{x_0^2}{p^6} sym_{1,1,1} ,$$

because of the equality (11) with a = 3, r = 1 implying $\operatorname{sm}_p(1,3) = (p-1)(p^2 + p + 1)$. Consider the polynomial $Q_3(X)$ defining the spinor zeta function Z(s) of genus three:

$$Q_3(X) = Q_3(x_0, x_1, x_2, x_3, X)$$

$$= (1 - x_0 X)(1 - x_0 x_1 X)(1 - x_0 x_2 X)(1 - x_0 x_3 X)$$

$$\times (1 - x_0 x_1 x_2 X)(1 - x_0 x_1 x_3 X)(1 - x_0 x_2 x_3 X)(1 - x_0 x_1 x_2 x_3 X).$$
(13)

Following the proof at p.159 of [An87], there exist Hecke operators

$$\mathbf{q}_j \in \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{T}(p), \mathbf{T}_1(p^2), \cdots, \mathbf{T}_n(p^2)]$$
 such that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{2^{n}} \Omega(\mathbf{q}_{j}) X^{j} = Q_{n}(X)$$

$$= (1 - x_{0}X)(1 - x_{0}x_{1}X)(1 - x_{0}x_{2}X) \cdot \dots \cdot (1 - x_{0}x_{1}x_{2} \cdot \dots \cdot x_{n}X).$$
(14)

Let us consider the series $D(X) = \sum_{\delta=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{T}(p^{\delta}) X^{\delta} \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{Z}}[\![X]\!]$ and the polynomial $F(X) = \sum_{j=0}^{2^n} \mathbf{q}_j X^j$ over the Hecke ring $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{Z}}$.

It was established by A.N.Andrianov, that there exist polynomials

$$E(X) \in \mathbb{Q}[\mathbf{T}(p), \mathbf{T}_1(p^2), \cdots, \mathbf{T}_n(p^2), X]$$
 such that

$$D(X) = \sum_{\delta=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{T}(p^{\delta}) X^{\delta} = \frac{E(X)}{F(X)}, \tag{15}$$

with the above polynomial $F(X) = \sum_{j=0}^{2^n} \mathbf{q}_j X^j$ of degree 2^n , and such that $E(X) = \sum_{j=0}^{2^n-2} \mathbf{q}_j X^j$

 $\sum_{j=0}^{n} \mathbf{u}_{j} X^{j}$ is a polynomial of degree $2^{n} - 2$ with the leading term

$$(-1)^{n-1}p^{n(n+1)2^{n-2}-n^2}[\mathbf{p}]^{2^{n-1}-1}X^{2^n-2}$$

(as stated in Theorem 6 at p. 451 of [An70] and at p.61 of §1.3, [An74]). In the following theorem we denote by $[\mathbf{p}]_n = (p\mathbf{I}_{2n}) = \mathbf{T}_n(p^2)$ the element (3.4.48) in [An70]), so that $\Omega([\mathbf{p}]_n) = p^{-n(n+1)/2} x_0^2 x_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot x_n$.

Theorem 1.1 (see also [An67]) If n=3, there is the following explicit polynomial presentation:

$$D(X) = \sum_{\delta=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{T}(p^{\delta}) X^{\delta} = \frac{E(X)}{F(X)},$$
(16)

where

$$E(X)$$

$$= 1 - p^{2} \left(\mathbf{T}_{2}(p^{2}) + (p^{2} - p + 1)(p^{2} + p + 1)[\mathbf{p}]_{3} \right) X^{2} + (p + 1)p^{4}\mathbf{T}(p)[\mathbf{p}]_{3}X^{3}$$

$$- p^{7}[\mathbf{p}]_{3} \left(\mathbf{T}_{2}(p^{2}) + (p^{2} - p + 1)(p^{2} + p + 1)[\mathbf{p}]_{3} \right) X^{4} + p^{15}[\mathbf{p}]_{3}^{3} X^{6} \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{Z}}[X].$$

$$(17)$$

REMARK 1.2 It was pointed out to the first author by S.Boecherer, that difficulties in the problem of analytic continuation of the spinor L-function of genus 3 could come from the polynomial E(X). Indeed, this is clearly indicated by Kurokawa's paper [Ku88], Theorem 2 in the case of the Siegel-Eisenstein series of genus 3. A similar polynomial is discussed in the paper of Maass [Maa76] using densities.

REMARK 1.3 It seems that the polynomial E(X) does not depend on $\mathbf{T}_1(p^2)$ in general. Also, we conjecture that the coefficients of E(X) at X and at X^{2^n-3} are always equal to zero.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 is completed in Section 3.

2 Explicit form of Shimura's conjecture for Sp_3

We derive a different method to compute the generating series in Shimura's conjecture for Sp_3 first computed by A.N.Andrianov in [An67] (see also [An68] and [An69]). Shimura's conjecture was stated in [Sh], at p.825 as follows:

"In general, it is plausible that $D_p(X) = E(X)/F(X)$ with polynomials E(X) and F(X) in X with integral coefficients of degree $2^n - 2$ and 2^n , respectively"

(i.e. with coefficients in $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{Z}} = \mathbb{Z}[\mathbf{T}(p), \mathbf{T}_1(p^2), \cdots, \mathbf{T}_n(p^2)]$).

Theorem 2.1 (see also [An 67]) If n=3, one has the following explicit polynomial presentation:

$$D(X) = \sum_{\delta=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{T}(p^{\delta}) X^{\delta} = \frac{E(X)}{F(X)}, \tag{18}$$

where E(X) is given by (17), and

$$F(X) = 1 - \mathbf{T}(p) X$$

$$+ p \left(\mathbf{T}_{1}(p^{2}) + (p^{2} + 1) \mathbf{T}_{2}(p^{2}) + (p^{2} + 1)^{2} [\mathbf{p}]_{3} \right) X^{2}$$

$$- p^{3} \mathbf{T}(p) \left(\mathbf{T}_{2}(p^{2}) + [\mathbf{p}]_{3} \right) X^{3}$$

$$+ p^{6} \left(-2 p \mathbf{T}_{1}(p^{2}) [\mathbf{p}]_{3} + \mathbf{T}_{2}(p^{2}) - 2(p - 1) \mathbf{T}_{2}(p^{2}) [\mathbf{p}]_{3}$$

$$- (p^{2} + 2p - 1)(p^{2} - p + 1)(p^{2} + p + 1)) [\mathbf{p}]_{3}^{2} + [\mathbf{p}]_{3} \mathbf{T}(p)^{2} \right) X^{4}$$

$$- p^{9} [\mathbf{p}]_{3} \mathbf{T}(p) \left(\mathbf{T}_{2}(p^{2}) + [\mathbf{p}]_{3} \right) X^{5}$$

$$+ p^{13} [\mathbf{p}]_{3}^{2} \left(\mathbf{T}_{1}(p^{2}) + (p^{2} + 1) \mathbf{T}_{2}(p^{2}) + (1 + p^{2})^{2} [\mathbf{p}]_{3} \right) X^{6}$$

$$- p^{18} [\mathbf{p}]_{3}^{3} \mathbf{T}(p) X^{7} + p^{24} [\mathbf{p}]_{3}^{4} X^{8} \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{Z}}[X].$$

Remark 2.2 (a) In the case n=2 Shimura proved ([Sh], Theorem 2) that

$$\sum_{\delta=0}^{\infty} \mathbf{T}(p^{\delta}) X^{\delta} = (1 - p^{2}[\mathbf{p}]_{2} X^{2}) \times$$

$$[1 - \mathbf{T}(p) X + \{p\mathbf{T}_{1}(p^{2}) + p(p^{2} + 1)[\mathbf{p}]_{2}\} X^{2} - p^{3}[\mathbf{p}]_{2} \mathbf{T}(p) X^{3} + p^{6}[\mathbf{p}]_{2}^{2} X^{4}]^{-1}$$

(b) For the group $G = GL_n$ it was proved by T. Tamagawa [Tam] that for all n

$$\sum_{\delta=0}^{\infty} t(p^{\delta}) X^{\delta} = \left[\sum_{i=0}^{n} (-1)^{i} p^{i(i-1)/2} \pi_{i}(p) X^{i} \right]^{-1}$$

(see in [Shi71], Theorem 3.21).

Proof of Theorem 2.1 follows the same lines as that of Theorem 1.1. We compute an expression for $\Omega(F(X))$ in terms of sym_{i_1,i_2,i_3} :

$$\begin{split} &\Omega(F(X)) = 1 - x_0 \left(sym_{1,\,1,\,1} + sym_{1,\,1,\,0} + sym_{1,\,0,\,0} + 1\right) X \\ &+ x_0^2 \left(4 \, sym_{1,\,1,\,1} + sym_{1,\,0,\,0} + 2 \, sym_{2,\,1,\,1} + 2 \, sym_{1,\,1,\,0} \right. \\ &+ sym_{2,\,1,\,0} + sym_{2,\,2,\,1}\right) X^2 \\ &- x_0^3 \left(sym_{3,\,1,\,1} + sym_{1,\,1,\,0} + 4 \, sym_{2,\,2,\,1} + 4 \, sym_{1,\,1,\,1} + sym_{2,\,1,\,0} \right. \\ &+ sym_{2,\,2,\,0} + 4 \, sym_{2,\,2,\,2} + sym_{3,\,2,\,2} + sym_{3,\,2,\,1} + 4 \, sym_{2,\,1,\,1}\right) X^3 \\ &+ x_0^4 \left(sym_{3,\,1,\,1} + sym_{1,\,1,\,1} + sym_{3,\,3,\,1} \right. \\ &+ sym_{4,\,2,\,2} + 2 \, sym_{2,\,1,\,1} + 4 \, sym_{3,\,2,\,2} + 2 \, sym_{3,\,2,\,1} + sym_{2,\,2,\,0} + 8 \, sym_{2,\,2,\,2} \right. \\ &+ 2 \, sym_{3,\,3,\,2} + sym_{3,\,3,\,3} + 4 \, sym_{2,\,2,\,1}\right) X^4 \\ &- x_0^5 \left(sym_{4,\,3,\,3} + sym_{4,\,3,\,2} + sym_{2,\,2,\,1} \right. \\ &+ 4 \, sym_{3,\,3,\,3} + sym_{4,\,2,\,2} + sym_{3,\,2,\,1}\right) X^5 \\ &+ x_0^6 \left(2 \, sym_{3,\,3,\,2} + sym_{3,\,2,\,2} + 2 \, sym_{4,\,3,\,3} \right. \\ &+ 4 \, sym_{3,\,3,\,3} + sym_{4,\,3,\,2} + sym_{4,\,4,\,3}\right) X^6 \\ &- x_0^7 \left(sym_{4,\,3,\,3} + sym_{3,\,3,\,3} + sym_{4,\,4,\,4} + sym_{4,\,4,\,3}\right) X^7 + x_0^8 \, sym_{4,\,4,\,4} X^8. \end{split}$$

Then we use the polynomial expressions (12) for the generators of the Hecke ring. Using these generators, we may look for a solution in the following form:

$$\Omega(F(X)) = 1 - \Omega(\mathbf{T}(p))X + (K_{T1p2}\Omega(\mathbf{T}_{1}(p^{2})) + K_{T2p2}\Omega(\mathbf{T}_{2}(p^{2}))
+ K_{T3p2}\Omega([\mathbf{p}]_{3}) + K_{TpTp}\Omega(\mathbf{T}(p))^{2})X^{2}
+ (K_{TpT1p2}\Omega(\mathbf{T}(p)\mathbf{T}_{1}(p^{2})) + K_{TpT2p2}\Omega(\mathbf{T}(p)\mathbf{T}_{2}(p^{2}))
+ K_{TpT3p2}\Omega(\mathbf{T}(p)[\mathbf{p}]_{3}) + K_{TpTpTp}\Omega(\mathbf{T}(p))^{3})X^{3}
+ (K_{T1p2T1p2}\Omega(\mathbf{T}_{1}(p^{2}))^{2} + K_{T1p2T2p2}\Omega(\mathbf{T}_{1}(p^{2})\mathbf{T}_{2}(p^{2}))
+ K_{T1p2T3p2}\Omega(\mathbf{T}_{1}(p^{2})[\mathbf{p}]_{3}) + K_{T2p2T2p2}\Omega(\mathbf{T}_{2}(p^{2}))^{2}
+ K_{T2p2T3p2}\Omega(\mathbf{T}_{2}(p^{2})[\mathbf{p}]_{3}) + K_{T3p2T3p2}\Omega([\mathbf{p}]_{3}^{2})
+ K_{T1p2TpTp}\Omega(\mathbf{T}_{1}(p^{2})\mathbf{T}(p)^{2}) + K_{T2p2TpTp}\Omega(\mathbf{T}_{2}(p^{2})\mathbf{T}(p)^{2})
+ K_{T3p2TpTp}\Omega([\mathbf{p}]_{3}\mathbf{T}(p)^{2}) + K_{TpTpTpTp}\Omega(\mathbf{T}(p))^{4})X^{4}
+ \Omega(\mathbf{q}_{5})X^{5} + \Omega(\mathbf{q}_{6})X^{6} + \Omega(\mathbf{q}_{7})X^{7} + p^{24}\Omega([\mathbf{p}]_{3})^{4}X^{8}.$$
(21)

It is not too difficult to resolve the resulting equations in the indeterminate coefficients:

$$\begin{split} K_{TpT1p2} &= 0, K_{TpTpTp} = 0, K_{TpT2p2} = -p^3, K_{TpT3p2} = -p^3, K_{T2p2} = p^3 + p, \\ K_{T3p2} &= p(1+p^2)^2, K_{T1p2} = p, K_{TpTp} = 0, K_{T2p2TpTp} = 0, \\ K_{T1p2T3p2} &= -2p^7, K_{T2p2T3p2} = -2p^7 + 2p^6, K_{T1p2T1p2} = 0, K_{T1p2T2p2} = 0, \\ K_{T2p2T2p2} &= p^6, K_{T1p2TpTp} = 0, K_{T3p2TpTp} = p^6, K_{TpTpTpTp} = 0, \\ K_{T3p2T3p2} &= -p^6(p^2 + 2p - 1)(p^2 - p + 1)(p^2 + p + 1). \end{split}$$

Then we find the remaining coefficients \mathbf{q}_5 , \mathbf{q}_6 , \mathbf{q}_7 using the functional equation [An87], p.164 (3.3.79): $\mathbf{q}_{8-i} = (p^6[\mathbf{p}]_3)^{4-i}\mathbf{q}_i$ ($i = 0, \dots, 8$), compare with formulas in [An67] and in [Evd].

3 An identity involving $\omega(t(1, p^{\lambda_2}, p^{\lambda_3}))$

The theory of Hecke rings for the symplectic group is developed in [Sh], [An87] and [AnZh95] (Ch. 3). At page 150 of [AnZh95] we have the following identity for the spherical map

$$R_{n}(X) = \sum_{\delta=0}^{\infty} \Omega(\mathbf{T}(p^{\delta})) X^{\delta}$$

$$= \sum_{\delta=0}^{\infty} \sum_{0 \leq \delta_{1} \leq \dots \leq \delta_{n} \leq \delta} p^{n\delta_{1} + (n-1)\delta_{2} + \dots + \delta_{n}} \omega(t(p^{\delta_{1}}, \dots, p^{\delta_{n}})) (x_{0}X)^{\delta}.$$
(22)

This identity for formal generating series of elements of Hecke ring allows to reduce computations in the local Hecke rins of the symplectic group to computations in polynomial rings by applying the spherical map Ω to elements $\mathbf{T}(p^{\delta}) = \mathbf{T}^n(p^{\delta}) \in L_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Gamma^n, S^n)$ of Hecke ring for the symplectic group or spherical map ω to elements $t(p^{\delta_1}, \dots, p^{\delta_n}) = \sum_j a_j(\Lambda g_j) \in L_{\mathbb{Q}}(\Lambda^n, G^n)$ of Hecke ring for the general linear group. Detailed definition of spherical maps for Hecke elements as well as definitions and a structure of left cosets Λg_j and Hecke pairs (Γ^n, S^n) and (Λ^n, G^n) can be found in [AnZh95], chapter 3 paragraphs 2 and 3, see also [An87] chapter 3. For generating elements $\pi_i(p) = \pi_i^n(p) = (\operatorname{diag}(1, \dots, 1, p, \dots, p))$ with 1 on the diagonal listed (n-i) times and then p listed i times $(1 \leq i \leq n)$ the images elements under the map $\omega = \omega_p^n$ are given by the formulas

$$\omega(\pi_i^n(p)) = p^{-\langle i \rangle} s_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) \quad (1 \le i \le n),$$

where

$$s_i(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{1 \le \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_i \le n} x_{\alpha_1} \cdots x_{\alpha_i}$$

is the ith elementary symmetric polynomial. For an arbitrary element t the map is defined by

$$\omega(t) = \sum_{j} a_{j} \omega((\Lambda g_{j})).$$

Examples of computation for cases n = 1 and n = 2 are given in [Sh], at page 824, and in the book [AnZh95], at page 150:

$$R_1(X) = [(1 - x_0 X)(1 - x_0 x_1 X)]^{-1}, (23)$$

$$R_2(X) = \frac{1 - p^{-1} x_0^2 x_1 x_2 X^2}{(1 - x_0 X)(1 - x_0 x_1 X)(1 - x_0 x_2 X)(1 - x_0 x_1 x_2 X)}.$$
 (24)

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let us consider n=3. Acting analogously to the case n=2 let

$$\delta_{2} = \delta_{1} + \delta'_{1}
\delta_{3} = \delta_{1} + \delta'_{2}
\delta = \delta_{1} + \delta'
\delta' = \delta'_{2} + \beta$$
(25)

where $0 \le \delta_1' \le \delta_2' \le \delta'$, $\beta \ge 0$. Then

$$\begin{split} R_{3}(X) &= \sum_{\delta=0}^{\infty} \sum_{0 \leq \delta_{1} \leq \delta_{2} \leq \delta_{3} \leq \delta} p^{3\delta_{1}+2\delta_{2}+\delta_{3}} \omega(t(p^{\delta_{1}},p^{\delta_{2}},p^{\delta_{3}}))(x_{0}X)^{\delta} \\ &= \sum_{\delta_{1} \geq 0} \sum_{\substack{\beta \geq 0 \\ 0 \leq \delta'_{1} \leq \delta'_{2}}} (x_{0}X)^{\delta_{1}+\delta'_{2}+\beta} \left(\frac{x_{1}x_{2}x_{3}}{p^{6}}\right)^{\delta_{1}} \omega(t(1,p^{\delta'_{1}},p^{\delta'_{2}}))p^{3\delta_{1}+2(\delta_{1}+\delta'_{1})+(\delta_{1}+\delta'_{2})} \\ &= \sum_{\delta_{1} \geq 0} \sum_{\beta \geq 0} (x_{0}X)^{\delta_{1}+\delta'_{2}+\beta} \left(\frac{x_{1}x_{2}x_{3}}{p^{6}}\right)^{\delta_{1}} p^{6\delta_{1}+2\delta'_{1}+\delta'_{2}} \omega(t(1,p^{\delta'_{1}},p^{\delta'_{2}})) \\ &= \sum_{\delta_{1} \geq 0} \sum_{\beta \geq 0} (x_{0}Xx_{1}x_{2}x_{3})^{\delta_{1}} (x_{0}X)^{\beta} \sum_{0 \leq \delta'_{1} \leq \delta'_{2}} \omega(t(1,p^{\delta'_{1}},p^{\delta'_{2}}))p^{2\delta'_{1}+\delta'_{2}} (x_{0}X)^{\delta'_{2}} \\ &= [(1-x_{0}X)(1-x_{0}x_{1}x_{2}x_{3}X)]^{-1} \sum_{0 \leq \delta'_{1} \leq \delta'_{2}} \omega(t(1,p^{\delta'_{1}},p^{\delta'_{2}}))p^{2\delta'_{1}+\delta'_{2}} (x_{0}X)^{\delta'_{2}} \\ &= [(1-x_{0}X)(1-x_{0}x_{1}X)(1-x_{0}x_{2}X)(1-x_{0}x_{3}X)(1-x_{0}x_{1}x_{2}X) \\ &\times (1-x_{0}x_{1}x_{3}X)(1-x_{0}x_{2}x_{3}X)(1-x_{0}x_{1}x_{2}x_{3}X)]^{-1} P_{3}(X) \end{split}$$

Here $P_3(X)$ denotes a polynomial of degree 6 as stated in the Theorem 6 (page 451) of [An70]. This rational polynomial presentation is proved in [An69] for Hecke series and ζ -functions of the groups GL_n and SP_n over local fields. Further theory and applications were developed for genus 2 in the work [An74].

It follows that

$$P_{3}(X) = \sum_{0 \leq \delta'_{1} \leq \delta'_{2}} \omega(t(1, p^{\delta'_{1}}, p^{\delta'_{2}})) p^{2\delta'_{1} + \delta'_{2}} (x_{0}X)^{\delta'_{2}} \times$$

$$\times [(1 - x_{0}x_{1}X)(1 - x_{0}x_{2}X)(1 - x_{0}x_{3}X)$$

$$(1 - x_{0}x_{1}x_{2}X)(1 - x_{0}x_{1}x_{3}X)(1 - x_{0}x_{2}x_{3}X)],$$
(26)

and Theorem 1.1 will follow from the explicit computation of the coefficients

$$\omega(t(1,p^{\delta_1'},p^{\delta_2'}))$$

given in the next section.

4 Images of the Hecke operators under the spherical map

The formula for P_3 is obtained using the following computation for the images $\omega(t(1, p^{\lambda_2}, p^{\lambda_3}))$ of the Hecke operators under the spherical map for the group $\Lambda = GL_3(\mathbb{Z})$. Note that the notation Ω used in the article [An70] corresponds to ω in our formulas by the substitution of x_1 by x_1/p , x_2 by x_2/p and x_3 by x_3/p . We used formula (1.7) for Ω at page 432 of [An70] and adopted it for ω . In the notations of that article we have that W is the group $S_n = S_3$, the set

$$\Sigma = \{(1,-1,0), (1,0,-1), (0,1,-1)\}, q = p, \text{ and } \lambda = (0,\delta_1^{'},\delta_2^{'}).$$

The expression for the polynomial c(x) from [An70] takes the following form

$$c(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \frac{(x_2 - x_1/p)(x_3 - x_1/p)(x_3 - x_2/p)}{(x_2 - x_1)(x_3 - x_1)(x_3 - x_2)}.$$

More precisely, let us define

$$c_{\lambda,\mu}(x_1,x_2,x_3) = x_2^{\lambda} x_3^{\mu} \frac{(x_2 - x_1/p)(x_3 - x_1/p)(x_3 - x_2/p)}{(x_2 - x_1)(x_3 - x_1)(x_3 - x_2)}.$$

Then $\omega(t(1,p^{\lambda},p^{\mu}))=K_{\lambda,\mu}\sum_{\sigma\in S_3}c_{\lambda,\mu}(x_{\sigma(1)},x_{\sigma(2)},x_{\sigma(3)}),$ where

$$K_{\lambda,\mu} = \frac{1}{p^{2\lambda+\mu}} \times \begin{cases} \frac{p^3}{(p+1)(p^2+p+1)}, & \text{if } \lambda=\mu=0 \text{ (in this case } \omega(t(1,1,1))=1), \\ \frac{p}{p+1}, & \text{if } \lambda=0, \mu>0, \text{ or } \lambda=\mu>0, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise .} \end{cases}$$

In the case of n=3 we have 28 different possibilities for $\omega(t(1,p^{\lambda_2},p^{\lambda_3}))$, which we only need in order to compute the polynomial $P_3(X)$ of degree 6:

1)
$$\omega(t(1,1,1)) = 1$$
,

2)
$$\omega(t(1,1,p)) = \frac{sym_{1,0,0}}{p}$$

3)
$$\omega(t(1,p,p)) = \frac{sym_{1,1,0}}{p^3},$$

4)
$$\omega(t(1,1,p^2)) = \frac{(p-1) sym_{1,1,0}}{p^3} + \frac{sym_{2,0,0}}{p^2}$$

5)
$$\omega(t(1,p,p^2)) = \frac{(2p^2 - p - 1) sym_{1,1,1}}{p^6} + \frac{sym_{2,1,0}}{p^4}$$

6)
$$\omega(t(1, p^2, p^2)) = \frac{(p-1) sym_{2,1,1}}{p^7} + \frac{sym_{2,2,0}}{p^6}$$

7)
$$\omega(t(1,1,p^3)) = \frac{(p^2 - 2p + 1) sym_{1,1,1}}{p^5} + \frac{(p^2 - p) sym_{2,1,0}}{p^5} + \frac{sym_{3,0,0}}{p^3}$$

8)
$$\omega(t(1,p,p^3)) = \frac{(2p-2)sym_{2,1,1}}{p^6} + \frac{(p-1)sym_{2,2,0}}{p^6} + \frac{sym_{3,1,0}}{p^5}$$

$$9) \ \ \omega(t(1,p^2,p^3)) = \frac{(2\,p-2)\,sym_{2,2,1}}{p^8} + \frac{(p-1)\,sym_{3,1,1}}{p^8} + \frac{sym_{3,2,0}}{p^7}$$

$$10) \ \ \omega(t(1,p^3,p^3)) = \frac{(p^2-2\,p+1)\,sym_{2,2,2}}{p^{11}} + \frac{(p^2-p)\,sym_{3,2,1}}{p^{11}} + \frac{sym_{3,3,0}}{p^9},$$

$$\begin{split} 11) \;\; \omega(t(1,1,p^4)) &= \frac{(p^2-2\,p+1)\,sym_{2,1,1}}{p^6} + \frac{(p^2-p)\,sym_{2,2,0}}{p^6} \\ &+ \frac{(p^2-p)\,sym_{3,1,0}}{p^6} + \frac{sym_{4,0,0}}{p^4}, \end{split}$$

$$12) \ \omega(t(1,p,p^4)) \\ = \frac{(2\,p^2 - 3\,p + 1)\,sym_{2,2,1}}{p^8} + \frac{(2\,p^2 - 2\,p)\,sym_{3,1,1}}{p^8} \\ + \frac{(p^2 - p)\,sym_{3,2,0}}{p^8} + \frac{sym_{4,1,0}}{p^6},$$

$$\begin{split} 13) \;\; \omega(t(1,p^2,p^4)) &= \frac{\left(-4\,p^2 + 3\,p^3 + 2\,p - 1\right)\,sym_{2,2,2}}{p^{11}} + \frac{\left(2\,p^3 - 3\,p^2 + p\right)\,sym_{3,2,1}}{p^{11}} \\ &\quad + \frac{\left(p^3 - p^2\right)\,sym_{3,3,0}}{p^{11}} + \frac{\left(p^3 - p^2\right)\,sym_{4,1,1}}{p^{11}} + \frac{sym_{4,2,0}}{p^8}, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} 14) \;\; \omega(t(1,p^3,p^4)) &= \frac{\left(2\,p^2 - 3\,p + 1\right)\,sym_{3,2,2}}{p^{12}} \\ &+ \frac{\left(2\,p^2 - 2\,p\right)\,sym_{3,3,1}}{p^{12}} + \frac{\left(p^2 - p\right)\,sym_{4,2,1}}{p^{12}} + \frac{sym_{4,3,0}}{p^{10}}, \end{split}$$

15)
$$\omega(t(1, p^4, p^4)) = \frac{(p^2 - 2p + 1) sym_{3,3,2}}{p^{14}} + \frac{(p^2 - p) sym_{4,2,2}}{p^{14}} + \frac{(p^2 - p) sym_{4,3,1}}{p^{14}} + \frac{sym_{4,4,0}}{p^{12}},$$

$$\begin{aligned} &16) \;\; \omega(t(1,1,p^5)) \\ &= \frac{\left(p^2 - 2\,p + 1\right)\,sym_{2,2,1}}{p^7} + \frac{\left(p^2 - 2\,p + 1\right)\,sym_{3,1,1}}{p^7} + \frac{\left(p^2 - p\right)\,sym_{3,2,0}}{p^7} \\ &+ \frac{\left(p^2 - p\right)\,sym_{4,1,0}}{p^7} + \frac{sym_{5,0,0}}{p^5}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} &17) \ \omega(t(1,p,p^5)) \\ &= \frac{(2\,p^2-4\,p+2)\,sym_{2,2,2}}{p^9} + \frac{(2\,p^2-3\,p+1)\,sym_{3,2,1}}{p^9} + \frac{(p^2-p)\,sym_{3,3,0}}{p^9} \\ &\quad + \frac{(2\,p^2-2\,p)\,sym_{4,1,1}}{p^9} + \frac{(p^2-p)\,sym_{4,2,0}}{p^9} + \frac{sym_{5,1,0}}{p^7}, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &18) \ \ \omega(t(1,p^2,p^5)) \\ &= \frac{(3\,p^3-5\,p^2+3\,p-1)\,sym_{3,2,2}}{p^{12}} + \frac{(2\,p^3-4\,p^2+2\,p)\,sym_{3,3,1}}{p^{12}} \\ &+ \frac{(2\,p^3-3\,p^2+p)\,sym_{4,2,1}}{p^{12}} + \frac{(p^3-p^2)\,sym_{4,3,0}}{p^{12}} \\ &+ \frac{(p^3-p^2)\,sym_{5,1,1}}{p^{12}} + \frac{sym_{5,2,0}}{p^9}, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &19) \ \ \omega(t(1,p^3,p^5)) \\ &= \frac{(3\,p^3-5\,p^2+3\,p-1)\,sym_{3,3,2}}{p^{14}} + \frac{(2\,p^3-4\,p^2+2\,p)\,sym_{4,2,2}}{p^{14}} \\ &+ \frac{(2\,p^3-3\,p^2+p)\,sym_{4,3,1}}{p^{14}} + \frac{(p^3-p^2)\,sym_{4,4,0}}{p^{14}} \\ &+ \frac{(p^3-p^2)\,sym_{5,2,1}}{p^{14}} + \frac{sym_{5,3,0}}{p^{11}}, \end{split}$$

$$20) \ \omega(t(1, p^4, p^5)) = \frac{(2 p^2 - 4 p + 2) sym_{3,3,3}}{p^{15}} + \frac{(2 p^2 - 3 p + 1) sym_{4,3,2}}{p^{15}} + \frac{(2 p^2 - 2 p) sym_{4,4,1}}{p^{15}} + \frac{(p^2 - p) sym_{5,2,2}}{p^{15}} + \frac{(p^2 - p) sym_{5,3,1}}{p^{15}} + \frac{sym_{5,4,0}}{p^{13}}$$

$$21) \ \ \omega(t(1,p^5,p^5)) \\ = \frac{(p^2-2\,p+1)\,sym_{4,3,3}}{p^{17}} + \frac{(p^2-2\,p+1)\,sym_{4,4,2}}{p^{17}} + \frac{(p^2-p)\,sym_{5,3,2}}{p^{17}}$$

$$+\frac{(p^2-p)\,sym_{5,4,1}}{p^{17}}+\frac{sym_{5,5,0}}{p^{15}},$$

$$\begin{split} &22) \ \omega(t(1,1,p^6)) \\ &= \frac{(p^2-2\,p+1)\,sym_{2,2,2}}{p^8} + \frac{(p^2-2\,p+1)\,sym_{3,2,1}}{p^8} + \frac{(p^2-p)\,sym_{3,3,0}}{p^8} \\ &\quad + \frac{(p^2-2\,p+1)\,sym_{4,1,1}}{p^8} + \frac{(p^2-p)\,sym_{4,2,0}}{p^8} + \frac{(p^2-p)\,sym_{5,1,0}}{p^8} + \frac{sym_{6,0,0}}{p^6}, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} 23) \ \ \omega(t(1,p,p^6)) &= \frac{(2\,p^2-4\,p+2)\,sym_{3,2,2}}{p^{10}} + \frac{(2\,p^2-3\,p+1)\,sym_{3,3,1}}{p^{10}} \\ &+ \frac{(2\,p^2-3\,p+1)\,sym_{4,2,1}}{p^{10}} + \frac{(p^2-p)\,sym_{4,3,0}}{p^{10}} + \frac{(2\,p^2-2\,p)\,sym_{5,1,1}}{p^{10}} \\ &+ \frac{(p^2-p)\,sym_{5,2,0}}{p^{10}} + \frac{sym_{6,1,0}}{p^8}, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &24) \ \ \omega(t(1,p^2,p^6)) \\ &= \frac{(3\,p^3-6\,p^2+4\,p-1)\,sym_{3,3,2}}{p^{13}} + \frac{(3\,p^3-5\,p^2+3\,p-1)\,sym_{4,2,2}}{p^{13}} \\ &+ \frac{(2\,p^3-4\,p^2+2\,p)\,sym_{4,3,1}}{p^{13}} + \frac{(p^3-p^2)\,sym_{4,4,0}}{p^{13}} + \frac{(2\,p^3-3\,p^2+p)\,sym_{5,2,1}}{p^{13}} \\ &+ \frac{(p^3-p^2)\,sym_{5,3,0}}{p^{13}} + \frac{(p^3-p^2)\,sym_{6,1,1}}{p^{13}} + \frac{sym_{6,2,0}}{p^{10}}, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} 25) \ \ &\omega(t(1,p^3,p^6)) = \frac{(4\,p^3-7\,p^2+5\,p-2)\,sym_{3,3,3}}{p^{15}} \\ &+ \frac{(3\,p^3-6\,p^2+4\,p-1)\,sym_{4,3,2}}{p^{15}} + \frac{(2\,p^3-4\,p^2+2\,p)\,sym_{4,4,1}}{p^{15}} \\ &+ \frac{(2\,p^3-4\,p^2+2\,p)\,sym_{5,2,2}}{p^{15}} + \frac{(2\,p^3-3\,p^2+p)\,sym_{5,3,1}}{p^{15}} + \frac{(p^3-p^2)\,sym_{5,4,0}}{p^{15}} + \frac{(p^3-p^2)\,sym_{6,2,1}}{p^{15}} + \frac{sym_{6,3,0}}{p^{12}}, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &26) \ \ \omega(t(1,p^4,p^6)) \\ &= \frac{(3\,p^3-6\,p^2+4\,p-1)\,sym_{4,3,3}}{p^{17}} + \frac{(3\,p^3-5\,p^2+3\,p-1)\,sym_{4,4,2}}{p^{17}} \\ &+ \frac{(2\,p^3-4\,p^2+2\,p)\,sym_{5,3,2}}{p^{17}} + \frac{(2\,p^3-3\,p^2+p)\,sym_{5,4,1}}{p^{17}} + \frac{(p^3-p^2)\,sym_{6,5,0}}{p^{17}} \\ &+ \frac{(p^3-p^2)\,sym_{6,2,2}}{p^{17}} + \frac{(p^3-p^2)\,sym_{6,3,1}}{p^{17}} + \frac{sym_{6,4,0}}{p^{14}}, \end{split}$$

$$27) \ \omega(t(1,p^{5},p^{6})) = \frac{(2 p^{2} - 4 p + 2) sym_{4,4,3}}{p^{18}} + \frac{(2 p^{2} - 3 p + 1) sym_{5,3,3}}{p^{18}} + \frac{(2 p^{2} - 3 p + 1) sym_{5,4,2}}{p^{18}} + \frac{(2 p^{2} - 2 p) sym_{5,5,1}}{p^{18}} + \frac{(p^{2} - p) sym_{6,3,2}}{p^{18}} + \frac{(p^{2} - p) sym_{6,4,1}}{p^{18}} + \frac{sym_{6,5,0}}{p^{16}},$$

$$28) \ \ \omega(t(1,p^6,p^6)) = \frac{(p^2-2\,p+1)\,sym_{4,4,4}}{p^{20}} + \frac{(p^2-2\,p+1)\,sym_{5,4,3}}{p^{20}}$$

$$+ \frac{(p^2 - 2\,p + 1)\,sym_{5,5,2}}{p^{20}} + \frac{(p^2 - p)\,sym_{6,3,3}}{p^{20}} + \frac{(p^2 - p)\,sym_{6,4,2}}{p^{20}} \\ + \frac{(p^2 - p)\,sym_{6,5,1}}{p^{20}} + \frac{sym_{6,6,0}}{p^{18}} \quad . \quad \blacksquare$$

Note that Rhodes, J. A. and Shemanske, T. R. developed an alternative method of computing $\omega(t(p^{\delta_1},\ldots,p^{\delta_n}))$ in [RhSh], based on counting of certain left cosets in a given double coset (Theorem 4.3 of [RhSh]).

5 A special case

For some particular values of the Satake parameters x_0 , x_1 , x_2 , x_3 , the polynomial P_3 can be considerably simplified. For example, let us substitute $x_0 = 1$, $x_1 = p$, $x_2 = p^2$ and $x_3 = p^3$ as in Exercise 3.3.40, p.168 of [An87]: $P_{\nu}(X) := P(1, p, p^2, p^3, X)$, where ν denotes the degree homomorphism $\nu(x_0) = 1$, $\nu(x_1) = p$, $\nu(x_2) = p^2$, $\nu(x_3) = p^3$. Then the polynomial P takes the form

$$\begin{split} P_{\nu}(X) &= 1 - \left(\frac{p^7 + p^8 + p^9}{p} + (p^2 + p + 1) \, p^4 + \frac{p^3 + p^4 + p^5}{p}\right) \, X^2 \\ &+ \left((p+1) \, p^{10} + \frac{(p+1) \, (p^9 + p^{10} + p^{11})}{p^2} + \frac{(p+1) \, (p^7 + p^8 + p^9)}{p^2} + (p+1) \, p^4\right) \, X^3 \\ &- \left(\frac{p^{13} + p^{14} + p^{15}}{p^2} + (p^2 + p + 1) \, p^9 + \frac{p^9 + p^{10} + p^{11}}{p^2}\right) \, X^4 + p^{15} \, X^6 \\ &= 1 - (p^8 + p^7 + 2 \, p^6 + p^5 + 2 \, p^4 + p^3 + p^2) X^2 \\ &+ (p^{11} + 2 \, p^{10} + 2 \, p^9 + 3 \, p^8 + 3 \, p^7 + 2 \, p^6 + 2 \, p^5 + p^4) \, X^3 \\ &- (p^{13} + p^{12} + 2 \, p^{11} + p^{10} + 2 \, p^9 + p^8 + p^7) X^4 + p^{15} \, X^6. \end{split}$$

This gives the following factorization:

$$P_{\nu}(X) = (1 - p X) (1 - p^{2} X) (1 - p^{3} X) (1 - p^{4} X) \times (1 + p X + p^{2} X + p^{3} X + p^{4} X + p^{5} X^{2}).$$

Acknowledgements

We are very grateful to the Institute Fourier (UJF, Grenoble-1) for the permanent excellent working environment.

It is a great pleasure for us to thank Siegfried Boecherer, Gilles Robert and Tanguy Rivoal for valuable discussions and observations.

Our results were presented in a talk [PaGRFA] on June 22, 2006, "Produits d'Euler attachés aux formes modulaires de Siegel et la conjecture de Shimura explicite pour Sp_3 " at Séminaire Groupes Réductifs et Formes Automorphes à l'Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu, (Chevaleret) by the first author, thankful to Michael Harris for the invitation.

Our special thanks go to Anatoli Nikolayevich Andrianov and Yuri Ivanovich Manin, for providing us with advice and encouragement.

References

- [An67] Andrianov, A.N., Shimura's conjecture for Siegel's modular group of genus 3, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 177 (1967), 755-758 = Soviet Math. Dokl. 8 (1967), 1474-1478.
- [An68] Andrianov, A.N., Rationality of multiple Hecke series of a complete linear group and Shimura's hypothesis on Hecke's series of a symplectic group, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 183 (1968), 9-11 = Soviet Math. Dokl. 9 (1968), 1295 1297. MR
- [An69] Andrianov, A.N., Rationality theorems for Hecke series and zeta functions of the groups GL_n and SP_n over local fields, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat., Tom 33 (1969), No. 3, (Math. USSR Izvestija, Vol. 3 (1969), No. 3, pp. 439–476).
- [An70] Andrianov, A.N., Spherical functions for GL_n over local fields and summation of Hecke series, Mat. Sbornik, Tom 83 (125) (1970), No 3, (Math. USSR Sbornik, Vol. 12 (1970), No. 3, pp. 429–452).
- [An74] Andrianov, A.N., Euler products corresponding to Siegel modular forms of genus 2, Russian Math. Surveys, 29:3 (1974), pp. 45–116, (Uspekhi Mat. Nauk 29:3 (1974) pp. 43–110).
- [An87] Andrianov, A.N., Quadratic Forms and Hecke Operators, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, London, Paris, Tokyo, 1987.
- [AnZh95] Andrianov, A.N., Zhuravlev, V.G., Modular Forms and Hecke Operators, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 145, AMS, Providence, Rhode Island, 1995.
- [CourPa] CourTieu, M., Panchishkin, A.A., Non-Archimedean L-Functions and Arithmetical Siegel Modular Forms, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1471, Springer-Verlag, 2004 (2nd augmented ed.)
- [Evd] EVDOKIMOV, S. A., Dirichlet series, multiple Andrianov zeta-functions in the theory of Euler modular forms of genus 3, (Russian) Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 277 (1984), no. 1, 25–29.
- [Hecke] HECKE, E., Über Modulfunktionen und die Dirichletschen Reihen mit Eulerscher Produktenwickelung, I, II. Math. Annalen 114 (1937), 1-28, 316-351 (Mathematische Werke. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck und Ruprecht, 1959, 644-707).
- [Ku88] Kurokawa, Nobushige, Analyticity of Dirichlet series over prime powers. Analytic number theory (Tokyo, 1988), 168–177, Lecture Notes in Math., 1434, Springer, Berlin, 1990.
- [Maa76] MAASS, H. Indefinite Quadratische Formen und Eulerprodukte. Comm. on Pure and Appl. Math, 19, 689-699 (1976)
- [PaGRFA] PANCHISHKIN, A., Produits d'Euler attachés aux formes modulaires de Siegel et la conjecture de Shimura explicite pour Sp₃. Exposé au Séminaire Groupes Réductifs et Formes Automorphes à l'Institut de Mathématiques de Jussieu, June 22, 2006, http://www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/~panchish/grfaSp3.pdf
- [PaVa] Panchishkin, A., Vankov, K. On the numerator of the symplectic Hecke series of degree three. Arxiv, math.NT/0604602 (2006).

- [RhSh] RHODES, J. A. and SHEMANSKE, T. R. Rationality theorems for Hecke operators on GL_n , J. Number Theory 102 (2003), no. 2, 278-297.
- [Sa63] Satake, I., Theory of spherical functions on reductive groups over p-adic fields, Publ. mathématiques de l'IHES 18 (1963), 1-59.
- [Sh] Shimura, G., On modular correspondences for Sp(n, Z) and their congruence relations, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 49 (1963), 824-828.
- [Shi71] Shimura G., Introduction to the Arithmetic Theory of Automorphic Functions, Princeton Univ. Press, 1971
- [Tam] Tamagawa T., On the ζ -function of a division algebra, Ann. of Math. 77 (1963), 387-405
- [VaSp4] Vankov, K. Explicit formula for the symplectic Hecke series of genus four. Arxiv, math.NT/0606492, (2006).
- [Yosh01] Yoshida, H.: Motives and Siegel modular forms, American Journal of Mathematics, 123 (2001), 1171-1197.